

# Exhibit 29

Filed Under Seal

From: Andy Rubin. Sent: 4/13/2006 3:41 PM.  
 To: [ - ] Dan Bornstein.  
 Cc: [ - ] Steve Horowitz.  
 Bcc: [ - ]  
 Subject: Re: What are we doing?.

Obvious question - please define:

"the currently-envisioned time frame"?  
 and  
 "the currently-targeted amount"

On Apr 13, 2006, at 3:35 PM, Dan Bornstein wrote:

>> We need to provide an alternative to MSFT, and we need to do it in  
 >> such a way as we don't fragment 3rd party developers. See the next  
 >> slide in the deck for fragmentation: Java has very little  
 >> fragmentation, and it's adoptable. If we play our cards right, we  
 >> can also leverage not only existing developers, but applications as  
 >> well.  
 >  
 > That sounds like an argument for adopting the MIDP model for  
 > third-party apps, not developing a new app model.  
 >  
 >> Since we are Open Source, and the cost for our platform is close to  
 >> zero, we lower the total BOM by about 10%. That savings and some  
 >> careful choices in hardware specs helped us create our slogan:  
 >> "Smartphone features at featurephone prices".  
 >>  
 >> BTW, my definition of smartphone is a phone that has an open API for  
 >> 3rd party developers and whose own applications use that same API.  
 >  
 > Ok, even conceding the point about BOM, I do not believe we can make a  
 > smartphone by your definition in the currently-envisioned time frame,  
 > with the scope of development as currently outlined in the PRD, and  
 > have it be one that can be good enough to sell the currently-targeted  
 > amount. In the current nominal plan, there is too much that is new to  
 > expect everything to totally fall into place in a 1.0 release.  
 > Something still has to give.  
 >  
 >> I've cc'd android-team.  
 >  
 > I think you forgot to; please go ahead.  
 >  
 > -dan